

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	Ψητες ο ^{ν ·} Ι Washi			gton, D.C. 20231	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/522,434	03/09/00	K0		F	11544-003001
ERIC L PRAHL FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN STREET BOSTON MA 02110-2804		HM22/1117 7		EXAMINER	
		Tel Control of the stands of		COE, S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1651	3
				DATE MAILED:	11/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/522,434

Applica...(s)

Examiner

Susan Coe

Group Art Unit 1651

Ko et al.

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to explonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	oond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	-
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	eview. PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
received in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	•
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	•
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Trouble of informatif atom Application, 1-10-102	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THI	E FOLLOWING DACES
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THI	E POLLOVING PAGES

Art Unit: 1651

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a ginseng composition, classified in class 424, subclass 195.1.
- II. Claims 12-21, drawn to a process of making a ginseng composition, classified in class 424, subclass 195.1.
- III. Claim 22, drawn to a method of treating peptic ulcers with ginseng, classified in class 424, subclass 195.1.
- 1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case

 the product can be made by a different process, such as making a ginseng extract with methanol.
- 2. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as the administration of ginseng to improve memory and mental function.

3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The function of invention II is to create a plant extract; the function of invention III is to treat ulcers. These functions are distinct from one another.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A) extraction processes in claims 3-10 and 13-21.

If applicant elects groups I or II, as set forth above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 12 are generic.

5. A proper election would appear as follows:

Group II, species A), extraction procedure in claim 19

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SDC November 8, 2000

FRANCISCÓ PRATS
PRIMARY EXAMINER